

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,772	12/12/2001	Roger S. Kerr	83030NAB	8661
75	90 09/11/2003			
Milton S. Sales Patent Legal Staff			EXAMINER ROSSI, JESSICA	
343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14650-2201				
			1733	
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
	10/020,772	KERR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jessica L. Rossi	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Peri df r Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days all apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<i>,</i>	s action is non-final.	assocition as to the morits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under EDISP sition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-52 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ted or b)☐ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provides 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)	o priority unider do 0.0.0. 99 120	GINTOI IZI.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal 8	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/020,772

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 4-7, 12-16, 18, 21-25, 27, and 30-36, drawn to a method for creating a pre-press proof, classified in class 156, subclass 209.
 - II. Claims 2-3,8, 10-11, 17, 19-20, 26, 28-29, 37, and 47-49, drawn to a pre-press proof, classified in class 283, subclass 72.
 - III. Claims 38-45 and 50-52, drawn to a laminator, classified in class 156, subclass 555.
 - IV. Claim 46, drawn to a belt, classified in class 425, subclass 373.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product could be made by embossing with a roller instead of a belt.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus could be used to practice a method involving the embossing and laminating of a variety of substrates to form a variety of articles thereby placing serious burden on the examiner.

Art Unit: 1733

- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus could be used to practice a method involving the embossing and laminating of a variety of substrates to form a variety of articles thereby placing serious burden on the examiner.
- 5. Inventions III and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the apparatus is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and it could be used to make a variety of embossed articles thereby placing serious burden on the examiner.
- 6. Inventions IV and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the apparatus is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and it could be used to make a variety of embossed articles thereby placing serious burden on the examiner.
- 7. Inventions III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require

Application/Control Number: 10/020,772

Art Unit: 1733

the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the embossing belt to have one figure, number, or character thereon (note that embossing can take place by protrusions located on a belt, roller, etc.). The subcombination has separate utility such as an embossing belt for a variety of articles.

- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: upon election of **Group I**, Applicants must make a **further species election** and elect one species from the list below.

Species A (appears to be claims 1, 4-7), drawn to embossing an imaged surface of a preproof as shown in Figure 3.

Species B (appears to be claims 9, 12-16), drawn to laminating a plastic sheet to an imaged surface of a pre-press proof and embossing the plastic sheet as shown in Figure 4.

Species C (appears to be claims 18 and 21-25), drawn to creating an imaged receiver sheet having a support layer and an imaged print layer, laminating the receiver sheet to a receiver stock, embossing the same, and removing the support layer as shown in Figure 5.

Species D (appears to be claims 27, 30-36), drawn to laminating a first print layer and first support layer to receiver stock to form a pre-laminate, removing the first support layer,

Application/Control Number: 10/020,772

Art Unit: 1733

creating a receiver sheet having a second support layer and a second imaged print layer, laminating the receiver sheet to the pre-laminate, embossing the same, and removing the second support layer as shown in Figures 6A-B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Page 6

- Application/Control Number: 10/020,772

Art Unit: 1733

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jessica L. Rossi** whose telephone number is **703-305-5419**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael W. Ball can be reached on 703-308-2058. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Jessica L. Rossi Patent Examiner Art Unit 1733

jlr

Michael W. Ball
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700